

RESUMMATION & K_T

George Sterman
BNL
MAY 11, 04
Werner Vogelsang

- Explicit & implicit resummation
- K_T and joint resums
- Power corrections
- E -dependence of the data
- Avoiding kinematic singularities
- E -dependence and K_T
 - threshold, $x_T \rightarrow 1$
 - low $x_T \rightarrow 0$
- Conclusion

* Why Resum?

Every final state in hard scattering carries the imprint of QCD dynamics from at all distance scales

- Phenomenological

- Logarithmic corrections: explicit

$$\frac{d\sigma(Q)}{dQ_1} \propto \frac{1}{Q_1} \sum_n C_n \alpha_s^n \ln^{an+b} \left(\frac{Q}{Q_1} \right) \quad \Lambda \ll Q_1 \ll Q$$

- ★ Z, H p_T , e^+e^- event shapes, BFKL

- Logarithmic corrections: implicit


$$\sigma(Q) \propto \int \frac{dQ_1}{Q_1} F(Q_1) \sum_n C_n \alpha_s^n \ln^{an+b} \left(\frac{Q}{Q_1} \right) \quad F(0) = 0$$

- ★ Threshold resummations, 1PI high- p_T

- Theoretical

- QED analog: soft photon radiation
→ correspondence to classical fields
 - Exploration of gauge theory
 - * all orders predictions; strong coupling
 - * guide to nonperturbative dynamics


- Explicit logs: $Z p_T$ at Run 1



(from Kulesza, G.S., Vogelsang (2002))

- maximum then decrease near “exclusive” limit (parton model kinematics) replaces divergence
- Soft but perturbative radiation broadens distribution
- Typically NP correction necessary for quantitative description of data
- recover fixed order away from exclusive limit

- Implicit logs: threshold resummation vs. fixed order for H at LHC



(from Catani, de Florian, Grazzini, Nason (2003))


- Modest change \leftrightarrow increased confidence
- Modest decrease in scale dependence

★ k_T -Resummation

- Example: Joint Resummation for $Z Q_T$
- Double inverse transform

$$\frac{d\sigma^{\text{res}}}{dQ^2 dQ_T^2} \sim \sigma_0^{a\bar{a} \rightarrow Z} \int_C dN \tau^{-N} \int_{C_b} d^2 b e^{i\vec{Q}_T \cdot \vec{b}} \\ \times \mathcal{C}_a(Q, b, N) e^{E_{a\bar{a}}(N, b, Q)} \mathcal{C}_{\bar{a}}(Q, b, N)$$

$$C_a(Q, b, N, \mu) = \sum_j C_{a/j}(N, \alpha_s(\mu)) f_j(N, Q/(N + bQ))$$



- Perturbative exponent

$$E_{a\bar{a}}(N, b, Q) \sim \int_{[Q/(N+bQ)]^2}^{\mu^2} \frac{dm^2}{m^2} A_a(\alpha_s(m)) \ln \left(\frac{Nm}{Q} \right)$$

- Incorporates energy and p_T -conservation → Average p_T^2 function of $\ln(1 - x)Q$.

* EXPONENT IN JOINT RESUM.
(closer look)

$$E_{ab}(N, b, Q)$$

$$= \int \frac{d^2 k_T}{(2\pi)^2} \frac{1}{k_T^2} \sum_{i=a,b} A(\alpha_s(k_T^2)) \times$$

(Laenen) $\cdot \left[e^{-ib \cdot k_T} K_0\left(\frac{2Nk_T}{Q}\right) + \ln\left(\frac{Nk_T}{Q}\right) \right]$

$$A_i(\alpha_s(k_T^2)) = C_i \frac{\alpha_s(k_T^2)}{\pi} + \dots$$

* POWER CORRECTIONS

FROM $k_T^2 \rightarrow 0$

EVEN POWERS of $b, \frac{N}{Q}$

Identify NP parameters



$$g_b \leftrightarrow \int_0^{\mu_R} dk_T k_T A(\alpha_s(k_T^2)) \ln^b \frac{k_T}{\mu_R}$$


$$\Rightarrow e^{-(b^2 + \frac{2N^2}{Q^2}) g_b \ln \frac{Q}{N}} + \dots$$

ζ "shape function"

IMPLICIT LOGS

* Particle Spectra: Fixed Target vs. Collider





Catani: ..
Vergleichung 99

- Self-consistent recoil in Joint Resummation

Laenen, GS, Vogelsang

- Double inverse transform and approximation:

$$\begin{aligned}
 p_T^3 \frac{d\sigma_{ab}}{dp_T} &\sim \int_{-i\infty}^{i\infty} dN \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d^2 b \, d^2 Q_T \, e^{i \vec{Q}_T \cdot \vec{b}} \\
 &\times \tilde{\sigma}_{ab}^{(0)}(N) \, e^{E(N, b, p_T)} \\
 &\times \underbrace{\left(\frac{S}{4(\vec{p}_T - \frac{1}{2}\vec{Q}_T)^2} \right)^{N+1}}_{= (x_T^2)^{-N-1} e^{N\vec{Q}_T \cdot \vec{p}_T / p_T^2} (1 + \mathcal{O}(1/N, Q_T^2/p_T^2))}
 \end{aligned}$$

- Q_T, b integrals (N imaginary) \Rightarrow

$$\begin{aligned}
 p_T^3 \frac{d\sigma_{ab}}{dp_T} &\sim \int_{-i\infty}^{i\infty} dN \, \tilde{\sigma}_{ab}^{(0)}(N) \, (x_T^2)^{-N-1} \\
 &e^{E_{\text{thr}}(N, p_T)} \, e^{\delta E_{\text{recoil}}(N, p_T)}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \delta E_{\text{recoil}}(N, p_T) &= \pi \int_0^{Q^2} \frac{dk_T^2}{k_T^2} \sum_{i=a,b} A_i(\alpha_s(k_T^2)) \\
 &\left[\left(I_0 \left(\frac{N k_T}{p_T} \right) - 1 \right) K_0 \left(\frac{N k_T}{p_T} \right) \right]
 \end{aligned}$$

- Isolate perturbative recoil; $\text{N} \text{NLL}$ in N :

$$\delta E_{\text{recoil}}(N, p_T) = \delta E_{\text{PT}} + \delta E_{\text{np}}$$

$$\delta E_{\text{PT}} \propto \frac{\alpha_s(p_T^2/N^2)}{\pi} \frac{\zeta(2)}{2}$$

- isolate low scales \leftrightarrow strong coupling

$$\delta E_{\text{recoil}} = \text{PT} + \lambda_{ab} \frac{N^2}{p_T^2} \ln \frac{p_T}{N}$$

$$\lambda_{ab} \sim 2g_{\text{EW}} \propto \int dk_T^2 \alpha_s(k_T^2)$$


$$N \leftrightarrow \frac{1}{\ln x_T^2}$$


$$\delta E_{\text{recoil}} = \text{PT} + \lambda_{ab} \frac{1}{p_T^2 \ln^2 \left(\frac{4p_T^2}{S} \right)} \ln \left(p_T \ln \left(\frac{4p_T^2}{S} \right) \right)$$


- power suppressed in p_T
- decreases with S at fixed p_T
- match to large- and small- N behavior of Bessel functions \rightarrow sample ‘shape function’ of N/p_T only:


$$\delta E_{\text{np}} = \frac{CN^2}{p_T^2} \frac{\ln \left(1 + \frac{2p_T}{N} \right)}{\left(1 + \frac{N}{p_T} \right)^2}$$

C (in GeV)









E -dependence for $x_T \rightarrow 0$

- $N^2/P_T^2 \xrightarrow{x_T \rightarrow 0} 1/P_T^2$ but coeff. not large
- Enhanced upturn? E106 How?

Desperation \Rightarrow

- An illustration (c.f. low- x unintegrated PDFs)
(Catani, Hautmann et al)

"Low- x " profile

$$E_{\text{low}} = C \int_0^{Q^2} \frac{dk_T}{k_T^2} (e^{ib \cdot k_T} - 1) \frac{\alpha_S(k_T^2)}{P_{gg}^{(N)}}$$

$$\sim \frac{C}{N-1} [-\ln Q^2 b^2 - b^2 \int dk_T^2 \alpha_S(k_T^2)]$$

$$b \rightarrow iN/2P_T \in Q$$

$$E_{\text{low}} \sim \frac{C}{N-1} [\ln N^2 + \frac{N^2}{Q^2} \int_0^{\infty} dk^2 \alpha_S(k^2)]$$

$$N-1 \leftrightarrow \ln \frac{1}{x_T}$$

conjugate

$$E_{\text{low}} \sim \frac{C(\ln \frac{1}{x_T})}{4P_T^2}$$

a 'hint'?

CONCLUSIONS

- Resum \rightarrow P.C. $e^{-F(x_T)/p_T^2}$ through K_T
- Modest large- N ($x_T \rightarrow 1$) power corrections consistent w/ E706. No obvious demand elsewhere.
- Low- p_T rise requires extension of threshold(K_T) treatment
 - a hint of $e^{(\ln K_T)/p_T^2}$